

Tehran University of Medical Sciences Publication http://tums.ac.ir

## **Iran J Parasitol**

Open access Journal at http://ijpa.tums.ac.ir



Iranian Society of Parasitology http://isp.tums.ac.ir

## **Original Article**

# Comparative Analysis of Microscopy, Leishmanin Skin Test (LST), and Direct Agglutination Test (DAT) for the Diagnosis of Human Cutaneous Leishmaniasis

## Mohammad Hamedanipour <sup>1</sup>, \*Mohammad Barati <sup>1</sup>, Zahra Mirabedini <sup>2</sup>, \*Mehdi Mohebali <sup>2,3</sup>, Alireza Latifi <sup>2</sup>, Zahra Kakooei <sup>2</sup>

1. Infectious Diseases Research Center, Aja University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

2. Department of Medical Parasitology and Mycology, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences,

Tehran, Iran

3. Center for Research of Endemic Parasites of Iran (CREPI), Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

	Abstract
Received 25 Oct 2024	
Accepted 18 Dec 2024	Background: Cutaneous Leishmaniasis (CL) is a highly infectious parasitic disease
Philiphen 10 Dec 2024	in Iran. Although various diagnostic methods are available, identifying a sensitive
	and specific approach remains essential for effective treatment and disease man-
	agement. We aimed to compare microscopy, the Leishmanin Skin Test (LST), and
Keywords:	the Direct Agglutination Test (DAT) for diagnosis of human CL.
Cutaneous leishmania-	Methods: Fifty samples were obtained from clinically suspected CL cases. The re-
sis;	sults of conventional methods, including microscopy, the LST, and the DAT, were
Microscopy test;	then compared among these samples. For microscopical examination considered a
Leishmanin skin test;	gold standard, smears were stained with Giemsa 10% and then examined for the
Direct agglutination	observation of amastigote forms for the LST, 0.1 ml of standard Leishmanin solu-
test;	tion was intradermally injected into positive patients, and then indurations were
Human	measured after 48-72 hours; an induration of $\geq$ 5 mm was considered positive. Ad-
	ditionally, anti-Leishmania antibodies were detected using DAT on positive collect-
*Correspondence	ed serum samples.
Emails:	Results: Out of 50 samples collected from individuals suspected of CL, 66%
mbaratim@gmail.com,	(33/50) of them showed positive results using microscopic examination. Among
mohebali@tums.ac.ir	these 33 patients diagnosed with CL, 9% (3/33) tested positive in the LST, and
Ŭ	only 1 (3%) patient showed specific antibodies against Leishmania using DAT.
	Conclusion: The microscopy method appears to be more suitable for diagnosing
	CL. However, there is a clear need for additional diagnostic methods with more
	validity for CL.



Copyright © 2025 Hamadanipour et al. Published by Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International license.

<sup>(</sup>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited DOI: 10.18502/ijpa.v20i1.18101

## Introduction

eishmaniasis is a vector-borne parasitic disease in tropical regions, caused by various species of the genus Leishmania (1). Leishmaniasis presents in three clinical forms: visceral leishmaniasis (VL), cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL), and mucocutaneous leishmaniasis (MCL) (2). CL is transmitted through the bite of the female mosquito of Phlobotomus species (3). Although this disease is typically non-fatal, it is necessary to use chemical drugs or natural products to treat this disease due to the chronic and disfiguring lesions it causes (4-6). Currently, CL is present in over 98 countries globally, with an estimated prevalence affecting 12 million people (7, 8). Diagnosing CL is challenging due to the varied symptoms, the stage of the lesions, and the involvement of different species (9, 10). Various methods are employed in diagnosing CL, including direct smear examination (microscopic), culture, molecular, and immunologic techniques (11-13).

The microscopic method is considered the gold standard for diagnosis (12, 14). The sampling location, the lesion stage (chronic or active), and the individual collecting the sample are all crucial factors to consider (15).

The Leishmanin Skin Test (LST) is a method utilized in diagnosing chronic CL in some regions. In this test, typically 0.1 ml of Leishmania major antigen containing one million L. major promastigotes, which are rendered inactive by 1% Mertiolate, is intra-dermally injected into the forearm area (16-18). After 48-72 hours, the resulting induration is measured, often characterized by a raised line upon stretching. This test is primarily employed in diagnosing cutaneous, mucosal, and mucocutaneous leishmaniasis, as well as in epidemiological studies related to CL (16, 18, 19).

The Direct Agglutination Test (DAT) serves as a routine serological method for diagnosing VL. Notably, one of its advantages lies in its simplicity, as it requires no complex equipment and offers easy interpretation of results (20-22). The DAT test demonstrates high sensitivity and specificity in diagnosing VL (9, 23) but it has not assessed for the diagnosis of CL. Iran stands out as one of the most significant epicenters of CL worldwide (24-27). Therefore, we aimed to investigate and compare the effectiveness of the microscopic method, DAT test, and LST for diagnosing human CL in Iran.

## Materials and Methods

## Sample collection and patients

Samples were collected from 50-suspected patients of CL in Iran. These patients were referred to the center in Golestan Province, the most important endemic focus of CL in Iran, between August 2023 and March 2024. Alongside demographic data, information regarding the age, sex, and lesion number was gathered and documented in the questionnaires.

### Microscopic diagnosis

The patients received a diagnosis based on clinical characteristics and parasitology methods, including microscopic examination. For microscopic diagnosis, suspected CL lesions were scraped using a sterile scalpel, and the exudate materials were fixed with 100% methanol, allowed to dry, and subsequently stained with Giemsa 10% stain for microscopic examination. The diagnostic criteria for CL were established upon the observation of amastigotes within the smear under a light microscope with a magnification of 1000X.

## LST

We administered 0.1 ml of *Leishmanin* liquid intradermally into the alcohol-cleansed volar surface of the patient's forearms. The *Leishmanin* solution was derived from *L. major* (MRHO/IR/75/ER) Pasteur Institute of Iran (28). After 48-72 hours, the induration was measured along two diameters using the ballpointed pen technique. An induration with a diameter of 5mm or more was deemed indicative of a positive test result (17, 29).

#### **Blood** sampling

Two ml blood samples were obtained from CL patients. The blood was then centrifuged at 800 g for 5-10 minutes, and the sera were separated and subsequently stored at -20°C. DAT was performed on all the serum samples.

#### DAT

The DAT antigen was procured through a multistep process involving the mass cultivation of promastigotes of the Iranian strain *L. infantum* (MCAN/IR/07/Moheb-gh (GenBank Accession No. FJ555210)) in RPMI-1640 medium (Bioidea, Iran) supplemented with 15% FBS (Gibco, Germany). Following parasite trypsinization, the promastigotes were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 (Sigma, USA) and subsequently fixed with 1.2% formaldehyde. For DAT testing, serum was initially screened at a dilution of 1/800. In the event of a positive reaction, further titration was performed up to a dilution of 1:102,400. The experimental plates utilized for this purpose featured 96 (8 × 12) V-shaped wells.

During the screening procedure, 8-well rows were allocated for each sample. Conversely, in the case of titration, 12-well rows were designated for one specimen. To prepare serum dilutions, 90 µl of human sera diluting agent and 10 µl of sera were combined in the first well to achieve a 1:10 dilution. Following this, 10 µl of this mixture was transferred to the second well and mixed with 90 µl of human sera diluting agent to attain a 1:100 dilution. In the remaining wells, 50 µl of human sera to achieve dilutions of 1:200, 1:400, 1:800, 1:1600, 1:3200, 1:6400, 1:12800, 1:25600, 1:51200, and 1:102400, respectively. Subsequently, 50 µl of DAT antigen was added to the specific well containing the 1/800 dilution during the screening process. The plate was then incubated at ambient temperature for 13–18 hours. It is worth noting that positive and negative control sera were included in each set of experiments. When comparing the results with these controls, compact blue dots were interpreted as negative, whereas large diffuse blue mats were indicative of a positive reaction. The test results underwent independent examination by two individuals. According to previous studies, human sera showing specific anti-*Leishmania* antibodies at a titer of  $\geq$ 1:3200 were considered positive cases (20).

#### Statistical analysis

Data analysis for this study was performed using the SPSS software version 24 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Nonparametric statistical test (chi-square) was applied to analyze statistically significant relationship among microscopic examination, LST, and DAT in a bivariate table. The probability (P) value was considered as statistically significant if P < 0.05.

#### Results

Out of 50 patients with suspicious CL, 33/50 patients (66%) tested positive in the direct microscopic examination. A significant relationship was observed between the diagnosis of the CL using the microscopic method (P < 0.05).

#### **Demographics**

Out of the 33 patients, 18 were male. The age range of patients was 11 to 56 years, with a mean age of 35.3 years (Table 1). No significant correlation was found between age, sex, and the number of lesions.

Variable	Number
Age (yr)	
10-25	10
26-40	13
>40	10
Sex	
Male	18
Female	15
Number of lesions	
1-3	12
4-6	13
>6	8

Table 1: Demographic Information of Patients with CL in Golestan Province

### LST

Out of 33 positive CL patients, 3 tested positive for the LST after 48-72 hours (9%) (Table 2). No statistical correlation was found between LST results and age, sex, and number of lesions.

Table 2: Information of LST-positive results in CL patients

Patient's No.	duration of Le- sion (month)	LST
1	1-3	+ (5 mm)
2	3-6	+ (9 mm)
3	3-6	+ (7 mm)

### DAT

Out of 33 positive CL patients, only one patient in the acute form of CL showed a seropositive rate (SPR) and had anti-*Leishmania* antibodies at titers of 1:3200 using DAT.

There was no significant relationship between the microscopic method, LST, and DAT test in the positive CL patients.

## Discussion

Cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) is highly prevalent in Iran, with several provinces acting as focal points for this disease (24, 30, 31). There are many reliable laboratory diagnostic methods for CL, including direct smear examination, culture, and molecular techniques (15, 18, 10). The primary diagnostic method for CL is the direct smear examination, also known as the microscopic test, which uses smears of dermal scrapings obtained from a skin biopsy (32, 33). This test is inexpensive, accessible, and highly reproducible. Alternatively, due to the wide clinical spectrum of leishmaniasis and its various clinical forms, it is essential to find a highly sensitive diagnostic method (11). Even today, microscopic identification remains a primary diagnostic tool in many regions where leishmaniasis is endemic. The detection of amastigotes in smears under microscopy has long been considered the gold standard and is highly specific for diagnosing CL (27, 34). However, its sensitivity varies between 42% and 70%, depending on the expertise and skill of the technicians performing the test. The diagnostic sensitivity of the microscopic examination observed in our study is 66% in suspected CL patients. Similarly, Rasti et al. reported a positivity rate of 66.9% for CL using the microscopic method (10). Weigle et al. reported in contrast to our results with low sensitivity (32.7%) (35). Navin et al reported a significant increase in the sensitivity of this method, from 40% to 80%, by increasing the number of samples collected from each lesion from one to four (36). On the other hand, Ramirez et al. reported the sensitivity was 90.4% in only a single sample (33). The site of sample collection significantly impacts the sensitivity of the microscopic method (37-39).

In this study, a combination of the microscopic method and the Leishmanin Skin Test (LST) has been utilized for the diagnosis of CL patients. The LST, also known as Montenegro's test, is an important tool for the diagnosis and epidemiological surveys of CL. The first study of this test was conducted by Montenegro in 1926 in Brazil (40). Due to its high sensitivity in CL, the LST test has been widely used for diagnosis (12, 41). LST can remain positive from a few days after infection to several months (18). Therefore, positive LST results were not associated with either acute or chronic forms or the number of lesions (16). In Colombia, LST was employed to diagnose leishmaniasis in chronic lesions. This combined approach's reported sensitivity, speci-

ficity, and overall efficiency were 92%, 70%, and 87%, respectively. This study emphasizes the importance of integrating LST into diagnostic protocols to improve specificity, complementing other methods (35). Although the LST cannot differentiate between current and past infections, it remains valuable for diagnosing leishmaniasis in endemic regions. In addition to its diagnostic role, the LST has been a valuable tool in epidemiological studies for monitoring exposure and immunity to Leishmania, as well as in vaccine research, where it serves as a surrogate marker of immunity (42, 16). The efficacy and safety of Iranian Leishmanin have been previously documented (29). The sensitivity and specificity of LST may vary depending on specific geographic areas, as the response can be influenced by various factors related to both the parasite and the host (17). Dostrovsky and Sagher suggested that the LST becomes positive very soon after Leishmania infection. However, they conceded that this rapid conversion might not occur in natural infections (43). In a study, LST showed a 90.4% positivity rate. Most patients with early lesions had positive LST results and, sex, age, and duration of the lesions had no significant impact on the test's positivity (44). The use of LST can be very helpful in confirming the CL diagnosis in early-suspected lesions. Some studies have found a relationship between the positivity of LST and the duration of the lesion. For example, a study in Pakistan evaluated 100 patients with CL using LST. The LSTs were positive in 78% of patients 2 weeks after diagnosis, increasing to 98% after 6 weeks. This sensitivity increases to 94% and 98% at 4 and 6 weeks of disease duration, respectively. Therefore, the test can be confidently employed even in cases presenting with early lesions (17). In our study, we evaluated the LST in CL patients. The results indicated that 3 out of 33 patients were positive. The results of our study showed no significant relationship between the number of CL lesions, age, and sex

in the positivity of the LST. Recently, the use of the LST has declined due to the lack of a standardized and reliable Leishmanin product. Despite efforts over the past few decades, Leishmanin antigen is no longer produced under good manufacturing practice (GMP) conditions anywhere in the world. As a result, the LST has been increasingly replaced by serological and molecular tests in epidemiological studies (16). In the early 1990s, effort to standardize the LST was initiated when the Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases (TDR) at the WHO requested Leishmanin submissions from institutions worldwide (45). TDR began the wide distribution of Iranian leishmanin, addressing the need for a standardized and reliable antigen. However, for unknown reasons, the distribution of Iranian Leishmanin was eventually discontinued. Some institutions briefly continued producing Leishmanin on a smaller scale. Although Iranian Leishmanin is still used in some studies (18, 45).

The DAT is a routine diagnostic test for VL due to its simplicity, affordability, and high specificity 95-96% and sensitivity 95% in endemic areas (21, 46). In this study, the measurement of DAT titers in CL patients was conducted. The DAT titer showed positivity in 3% (1/33). A previous study indicated that DAT may be a useful test for serologic diagnosis of Ethiopian CL patients, demonstrating that 60% of CL cases could be confirmed using this test (23). Hailu et al. reported a 90% positivity rate of DAT titers in CL patients (9). In another study, DAT was a valuable diagnostic tool for CL in Sudan. DAT has shown high positivity in the CL form (47). In this study, we did not expect cases that are more positive in CL patients. In Iran, L. infantum is primarily used to prepare the DAT antigen for diagnosing VL (20). Therefore, in this study, we utilized L. infantum antigen for CL patients, as L. major antigen was not available for the DAT test. We aimed to examine the sensitivity of L. infantum antigen for diagnosing CL. The limited number of positive cases observed may therefore be related to the type of antigen used. According to this claim, Mengistu et al. reported strong sensitivity and specificity of DAT using *L. major* antigen (23).

Using a larger sample size could have yielded more accurate and reliable results. Additionally, the antigen used in the DAT test was specific to *L. infantum*. DAT is recommended to be used with *L. major* and *L. tropica* for the evaluation of diagnosis CL.

## Conclusion

The overall positivity rate of the microscopic test was 66% in the suspected CL patients. In this study, the positive rate of both the DAT and LST was very low for CL patients. Therefore, we recommend the development of a suitable test specifically for CL detection. Overall, it can be concluded that the microscopic method is more suitable for diagnosing CL in Iran.

## Acknowledgements

The authors wish to express their gratitude to the AJA University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran (grant numbers: IR.AJAUMS.REC.1402.205).

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## References

- Reithinger R, JC Dujardin, H Louzir, et al. Cutaneous leishmaniasis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2007;7(9):581-596.
- Desjeux P. Leishmaniasis: current situation and new perspectives. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis. 2004;27(5):305-18.
- 3. Serafim TD, Coutinho-Abreu IV, Dey R, et al. Leishmaniasis: the act of transmission. Trends Parasitol. 2021;37(11):976-987.

- 4. Minodier P, Parola P. Cutaneous leishmaniasis treatment. Travel Med Infect Dis. 2007;5(3):150-158.
- 5. Barati M, Sharifi I, Sharififar F, et al. Antileishmanial activity of *Gossypium hirsutum L.*, *Ferula assa-foetida L.* and *Artemisia aucheri* Boiss extracts by colorimetric assay. Anti-Infect Agents. 2014;12(2):159-164.
- Oliveira LF, Schubach AO, Martins MM, et al. Systematic review of the adverse effects of cutaneous leishmaniasis treatment in the New World. Acta Trop. 2011;118(2):87-96.
- Bailey F, Mondragon-Shem K, Hotez P, et al. A new perspective on cutaneous leishmaniasis—Implications for global prevalence and burden of disease estimates. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017;11(8):0005739.
- Karami M, Gorgani-Firouzjaee T, Chehrazi M. Prevalence of cutaneous Leishmaniasis in the Middle East: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pathog Glob Health. 2023;117(4):356-365.
- Hailu A. The use of direct agglutination test (DAT) in serological diagnosis of Ethiopian cutaneous leishmaniasis. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2002;42(4):251-256.
- Rasti S, Ghorbanzadeh B, Kheirandish F, et al. Comparison of molecular, microscopic, and culture methods for diagnosis of cutaneous leishmaniasis. J Clin Lab Anal. 2016;30(5):610-615.
- Vega-López F. Diagnosis of cutaneous leishmaniasis. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2003;16(2):97-101.
- 12. Faber WR, Oskam L, van Gool T, et al. Value of diagnostic techniques for cutaneous leishmaniasis. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2003;49(1):70-74.
- 13. Aronson NE, Joya CA. Cutaneous leishmaniasis: updates in diagnosis and management. Infect Dis Clin North Am. 2019;33(1):101-117.
- 14. Pourmohammadi B. Motazedian MH, Hatam GR, et al. Comparison of three methods for diagnosis of cutaneous leishmaniasis. Iran J Parasitol. 2010;5(4):1-8.
- Singh S. New developments in diagnosis of leishmaniasis. Indian J Med Res. 2006;123(3):311-30.
- 16. Carstens-Kass J, Paulini K, Lypaczewski P, et al. A review of the *Leishmanin* skin test: A

neglected test for a neglected disease. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2021;15(7):e0009531.

- Manzur A. Sensitivity of *Leishmanin* skin test in patients of acute cutaneous leishmaniasis. Dermatol Online J. 2006;12(4): 2.
- Hashemi SN, Mohebali M, Mansouri P, et al. Comparison of *Leishmanin* skin test and direct smear for the diagnosis of cutaneous leishmaniasis. Acta Med Iran. 2011; 49(3):136-41.
- Alimohammadian M, Hakimi H, Nikseresht M. The preparation and evaluation of reference *Leishmanin* from *Leishmania major* for use in man for diagnostic and experimental purposes. Med J Islam Repub Iran. 1993;7:23–8.
- Mohebali M, Edrisian G. H, Nadim A, et al. Application of direct agglutination test (DAT) for the diagnosis and seroepidemiological studies of visceral leishmaniasis in Iran. Iran J Parasitol. 2006;1:15-25.
- 21. Mohebali M, Keshavarz H, Shirmohammad S, et al. The diagnostic accuracy of direct agglutination test for serodiagnosis of human visceral leishmaniasis: a systematic review with meta-analysis. BMC Infect Dis. 2020;20:946.
- 22. Molaie S, Mohebali M, Abaiet MR, et al. Alteration of Direct Agglutination Test (DAT) results in Iranian Kala-Azar patients: a case series. J Parasit Dis. 2017;41:446-449.
- 23. Mengistu G, Kiessling R, Akuffo H. The value of a direct agglutination test in the diagnosis of cutaneous and visceral leishmaniasis in Ethiopia. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1990;84(3):359-362.
- 24. Sabzevari, S, Teshnizi SH, Shokri A, et al. Cutaneous leishmaniasis in Iran: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Microb Pathog. 2021;152:104721.
- Feiz-Haddad MH, Kassiri H, Kasiri N. Prevalence and epidemiologic profile of acute cutaneous leishmaniasis in an endemic focus, Southwestern Iran. J Acute Dis. 2015;4:292-297.
- 26. Sharifi I, Khosravi A, Aflatoonian MR, et al. Cutaneous leishmaniasis situation analysis in the Islamic Republic of Iran in preparation for an elimination plan. Front Public Health. 2023; 11:1091709.

- 27. Miramin-Mohammadi A, Javadi A, Eskandari SE, et al. Immune response in cutaneous leishmaniasis patients with healing vs. non-healing lesions. Iran J Microbiol. 2020;12(3):249-255.
- Alimohammadian MH, Jones SL, Darabi H, et al. Assessment of interferon-γ levels and *Leishmanin* skin test results in persons recovered for leishmaniasis. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2012;87(1):70-5.
- 29. Sadeghian G, Ziaei H, Bidabadi LS, et al. Evaluation of *Leishmanin* skin test reaction in different variants of cutaneous leishmaniasis. Indian J Dermatol. 2013;58(3):239.
- Norouzinezhad F, Ghaffari F, Norouzinejad A, et al. Cutaneous leishmaniasis in Iran: results from an epidemiological study in urban and rural provinces. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed. 2016;6(7):614-619.
- Pouresmaeiliyan S, Sharifi I, Aflatoniyan MR, et al. A new focus of anthroponotic cutaneous leishmaniasis in Dehbakry region of Bam district, southeastern Iran 2008. J Kerman Univ Med Sci.. 2010;16(1):15-24.
- Mehregan DR, Mehregan AH, Mehregan DA, et al. Histologic diagnosis of cutaneous leishmaniasis. Clin Dermatol. 1999;17(3):297-304.
- Ramírez JR, Agudelo S, Muskus C, et al. Diagnosis of cutaneous leishmaniasis in Colombia: the sampling site within lesions influences the sensitivity of parasitologic diagnosis. J Clin Microbiol. 2000;38(10):3768-3773.
- Saab MEl, Hage H, Charafeddine K, et al. Diagnosis of cutaneous leishmaniasis: why punch when you can scrape? Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2015;92(3):518-22.
- Weigle KA, de Davalos M, Heredia, et al. Diagnosis of cutaneous and mucocutaneous leishmaniasis in Colombia: a comparison of seven methods. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1987;36(3):489-496.
- Navin TR., Arana FE, de Mérid AM, et al. Cutaneous leishmaniasis in Guatemala: comparison of diagnostic methods. Am J Trop Med Hyg.1990;42(1)36-42.
- 37. Pena HP, Belo VS, Xavier-Junior JCC, et al. Accuracy of diagnostic tests for American

tegumentary leishmaniasis: a systematic literature review with meta-analyses. Trop Med Int Health. 2020;25(10):1168-1181.

- 38. Azmi K, Nasereddin A, Ereqat S, et al. Methods incorporating a polymerase chain reaction and restriction fragment length polymorphism and their use as a 'gold standard'in diagnosing Old World cutaneous leishmaniasis. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2011;71(2):151-155.
- de Vries HJ, Reedijk SH, Schallig HD, et al. Cutaneous leishmaniasis: recent developments in diagnosis and management. Am J Clin Dermatol. 2015;16:99-109.
- 40. Montenegro J. Cutaneous reaction in leishmaniasis. Arch Dermatol Syph, 1926;13(2):187-194.
- Pampiglione S, Manson-Bahr PEC, La Placa M, et al. Studies on Mediterranean leishmaniasis IV. The *Leishmanin* skin test in cutaneous leishmaniasis. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1976;70(1):62-65.
- 42. Manson-Bahr P. Clinical aspects and control: Diagnosis in: The leishmaniases in biology and medicine. 1987;Academic Press, London & New York.

- 43. Dostrovsky A, Sagher F. The intracutaneous test in cutaneous leishmaniasis. Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 1946;40(3-4):265-269.
- 44. Sadeghian G, Momeni A, Siadat AH, et al. Evaluation of *Leishmanin* skin test and its relationship with the clinical form and duration of cutaneous leishmaniasis. Dermatol Online J. 2006;12(7):3.
- 45. Alimohammadian MH, Fariba Kojori Z, Darabi H, et al. Soluble Leishmanin as an Ideal Reagent for Skin Testing in Human Leishmaniasis. Iran Biomed J. 1997;1(4):39-47.
- Roberts T, Keddie SH, Rattanavong S, et al. Accuracy of the direct agglutination test for diagnosis of visceral leishmaniasis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Infect Dis. 2023;23(1):782.
- 47. El Safi S, Evans DA. A comparison of the direct agglutination test and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay in the sero-diagnosis of leishmaniasis in the Sudan. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1989;83(3):334-337.